

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Alexandria Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	CR. NO. 14-cr-167
MICHAEL LAWRENCE EISNER,)	
Defendant.)	

TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING

October 31, 2014

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE GERALD BRUCE LEE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE GOVERNMENT: OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
BY: CHAD GOLDER, ESQ.

FOR THE DEFENDANT: OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
BY: KEVIN BREHM, ESQ.

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: RENECIA A. WILSON, RMR, CRR
U.S. District Court
401 Courthouse Square
Alexandria, VA 22314
(703)501-1580

1 (Thereupon, the following was heard in open
2 court at 9:11 a.m.)

3 THE CLERK: 1:14 criminal 167, United States
4 versus Michael Lawrence Eisner.

5 MR. GOLDER: Good morning, Your Honor. Chad
6 Golder on half of the United States.

7 THE COURT: Good morning.

8 MR. BREHM: Good morning, Your Honor. Kevin
9 Brehm on half of Michael Eisner who is present.

10 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Eisner.

11 Good morning, Mr. Brehm.

12 MR. EISNER: Good morning.

13 THE COURT: Mr. Brehm, I take it that you and
14 Mr. Eisner have reviewed the presentence report.

15 MR. BREHM: We have, Your Honor, and there is
16 one objection that we've made to the offense level
17 calculation and that -- we set forth that in our
18 guidelines memorandum.

19 That's simply an issue where there was an
20 account opened in a victim's name that apparently was a
21 personal friend of Mr. Mark Head who is a co-conspirator
22 with Mr. Eisner on some fraud schemes and that Mr. Head
23 would have had personal identifier information of that
24 particular individual, opened the account, and then after
25 the account was opened, part of the fraud that Mr. Head

1 and Mr. Eisner were involved together involved making use
2 of that account.

3 So our position was that the enhancement for
4 the use of the identifier should not apply to Mr. Eisner.
5 That was Mr. Head's doing. Later on, Mr. Eisner and
6 Mr. Head both took advantage of the account as part of
7 the fraud, but not in the creation of the account.

8 The parties --

9 THE COURT: This is a conspiracy case; is
10 that right?

11 MR. BREHM: It is a -- conspiracy is one of
12 the counts. That's the first count.

13 THE COURT: All right.

14 MR. BREHM: Conspiracy to commit wire fraud,
15 addressing a number of different schemes. And the plea
16 agreement, the parties certainly agree to certain
17 enhancements, did not really anticipate that the two
18 levels for the identification issue would apply. So,
19 that was not a joint recommendation. We know that's not
20 binding on the Court or Probation. But it's our
21 contention that that one -- two level enhancement would
22 not apply in this case and would result in a lower
23 guideline range than is calculated in the presentence
24 report.

25 The range that is in the presentence report

1 now is a range of 97 to 121 months, and that's based on a
2 total offense level of 30 with Criminal History
3 Category 1. And so what we're asking the Court to do is
4 by removing that one enhancement, that would take the
5 total offense level down to 28. And again, at Criminal
6 History Category 1, the resulting range is then 78 to
7 97 months. We believe that's the accurate technical
8 calculation of the advisory range in this case.

9 THE COURT: All right, now, Mr. Brehm --

10 MR. BREHM: Yes.

11 THE COURT: -- I left it on my desk
12 apparently. Your client wrote me a very long letter.

13 MR. BREHM: Yes, sir.

14 THE COURT: In the letter, he acknowledged
15 that Mr. Head and he met, and Mr. Head was going to pay
16 his cousin, KC, a portion of the proceeds from the
17 Fidelity account and that he knew the Fidelity account
18 had no money in it. How should I weigh that in
19 considering this argument that you're saying about
20 whether or not he's responsible for knowing about the use
21 of KC's name in this account to steal money?

22 MR. BREHM: Well, I believe that --

23 Mr. Eisner's understanding from Mr. Head, since Mr. Head
24 was somehow connected to KC, at the time was that KC was
25 willing allowing Mr. Head to use his information in

1 exchange for a payment from Mr. Head.

2 So, Mr. Eisner did understand that the
3 account was -- of course, it was opened in KC's name. It
4 wasn't opened in Mr. Head's name and obviously would have
5 been used -- when it's opened, it would have used
6 identifiers for KC. Mr. Eisner would have understood
7 that reality. But I believe his understanding at the
8 time with Mr. Head was that was something that KC was
9 agreeable to in exchange for a payment of funds.

10 Now later, the use of the account was
11 fraudulent, and that's what we are arguing was the
12 conduct involved Mr. Eisner and Mr. Head in the
13 conspiracy was this subsequent misuse of the account, so
14 to speak. So, we think that's how that explains or
15 answers your question, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: All right, thank you.

17 Mr. Golder.

18 MR. GOLDER: Your Honor, as you know, we
19 didn't seek this enhancement in the plea agreement and
20 our recommendation wasn't based on it. But just to get
21 the facts straight, Your Honor hit on exactly what we
22 were going to say. Mr. Eisner's own letter indicates why
23 this would apply on the facts. He went to Mark Head and
24 said, I need to steal more money. Teach me how to steal.

25 They opened this account. They used KC's

1 name for the expressed purpose of this fraud. It was an
2 unauthorized use of the account for this fraud. He used
3 that to create this identity.

4 Beyond that, KC had no knowledge whatsoever
5 that this account was being used. As a co-conspirator,
6 it doesn't matter whether it's Mr. Head or Mr. Eisner,
7 and we -- we have evidence that Mr. Eisner knew exactly
8 what was going on all along.

9 THE COURT: Is KC supposed to get a portion
10 of the proceeds?

11 MR. GOLDER: KC got nothing. KC knew nothing
12 about it. When the agent knocked on KC's door, this was
13 the first time he learned that his name had been used.

14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

15 Mr. Brehm, I understand your objection, and
16 I -- I'm going to overrule it. I think because it's a
17 conspiracy case that a conspirator can be --
18 co-conspirator can be liable for the acts of his
19 accomplices, even if he doesn't know about all of the
20 acts being taken, so long as they're reasonably
21 foreseeable under the guidelines.

22 So, having said that, the guideline objection
23 will be overruled, and the guidelines remain as stated in
24 the presentence report.

25 I note the government has acknowledged the

1 plea agreement, and I'm prepared to follow the plea
2 agreement as to say the guidelines are advisory, and the
3 government's not seeking a guideline sentence in the
4 case.

5 Does the government want to be heard on
6 sentencing in the matter?

7 MR. GOLDER: Very briefly, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: It would help me also if you all
9 would address the comparison of Mr. Eisner to Mr. Head as
10 well.

11 MR. GOLDER: Absolutely.

12 What I was going to say, Your Honor, I begin
13 with this. Your Honor's received a lot of paper in the
14 past week. I do want to make sure because the victim is
15 here who wrote a letter. I know Your Honor has read that
16 letter. But I do want to emphasize the point that was
17 made in the --

18 THE COURT: If the victim wants to speak,
19 they can.

20 MR. GOLDER: The victim does not want to
21 speak. But with all the paper flying around, I do want
22 to be sure to emphasize how this began.

23 Mr. Eisner committed fraud on his legal
24 clients. That is something that Mark Head did not do,
25 stole more than \$200,000 from his legal clients,

1 including the one who is here today.

2 As Your Honor read in the letter, that kind
3 of activity from a trusted, legal advisor, stripped him
4 and his wife of any quote, "remaining dignity and left us
5 even more defenseless, weak and susceptible to financial
6 risk".

7 That's a significant offense in and of itself
8 that Mark Head did not commit. When that crime was over,
9 Mr. Eisner went to Mark Head and said, teach me how to
10 steal more. And he stole a lot of money in a short
11 amount of time.

12 We do not disagree with the defendant's most
13 recent filing, I believe it was yesterday, that Mark Head
14 did this over a longer period of time. But the fact that
15 Mr. Eisner committed such substantial fraud more --
16 \$1.1 million in such a short amount of time is
17 significant in and of itself.

18 In addition, because it is a conspiracy case,
19 Your Honor, as you recognized some of the losses are
20 overlapping in that Mark Head was responsible for
21 teaching Mr. Eisner about the fraud, but Mark Head did
22 not benefit to the same amount for their co-conspirator
23 activity that Mr. Eisner did.

24 Hundreds of thousands of dollars that were
25 part of their joint activity went to Mr. Eisner. So in

1 that respect while they're both responsible for the
2 losses, the notion that the losses are higher for
3 Mr. Head and not for Mr. Eisner are a bit deceiving,
4 because it was Mr. Eisner for the actual losses that
5 benefitted more than Mr. Head.

6 All of that together, Your Honor, we believe
7 that a higher sentence is justified here for Mr. Eisner.
8 He didn't cooperate the way Mr. Head did. He committed
9 this separate substantial and significant crime on his
10 legal clients, and he did benefit roughly the same
11 amount, more than a million dollars. It's significant in
12 and of itself.

13 We understand and we appreciate -- we read
14 the lengthy letter from Mr. Eisner as well, and we
15 understand that there are a lot of serious and mental
16 health and drug-related issues, but we do believe that
17 these crimes required forethought, required planning.

18 And when you read the letter from the victim
19 again, and I'll close with that, in addition to stealing
20 their money there's a line in that letter that I wasn't
21 aware of before reading that letter which is while all of
22 this was happening, Mr. Eisner told this client that he
23 had had a stroke. Well, that never happened. The kinds
24 of lies that came up in this case to his own legal
25 clients were quite significant and quite shameful. And

1 we do believe an appropriate punishment is deserved here.

2 THE COURT: Thank you.

3 Mr. Brehm, I'll hear from you.

4 MR. BREHM: Thank you, Your Honor, and we do
5 submit a number of pleading addressing some of these
6 different issues and our recommendations. So, I just
7 want to take a moment to address the question you just
8 asked the government a moment ago about the relationship
9 and comparison with Mr. Head.

10 I think based on what the government's saying
11 I would suggest to the Court on the one hand you
12 certainly have Mr. Head involved in a number of fraud,
13 some overlapping with Mr. Eisner, some not. They cover
14 an extended period of time of about six years resulting
15 in a far more actual loss than the conduct of Mr. Eisner.

16 And then I understand what the government's
17 saying is that well, Mr. Eisner had a particular position
18 of trust as an attorney that related to some of the fraud
19 conduct, not all of it, some of it, and that there's a
20 certain counterweight there.

21 I would suggest, then, that if you want to
22 look at it in that respect, when Mr. Head received
23 48 months that the government clearly stated in their
24 position paper in his case they thought was adequate for
25 deterrence based on the scope and severity of his fraud

1 conduct --

2

3 THE COURT: But, was Mr. Head's case just
4 straightforward or did he have some additional motion
5 filed by the government in his case?

6 MR. BREHM: It's my understanding -- I'll
7 just get to that. I appreciate it. My understanding is
8 the government made a recommendation of a deduction for
9 cooperation of ten percent.

10 THE COURT: All right.

11 MR. BREHM: Real minuscule amount. I'm not
12 sure exactly why. But I can tell you that nothing that
13 Mr. Head did led Mr. Eisner to decide to plead guilty.

14 Mr. Eisner was not a target letter or
15 pre-indictment negotiation case. He was indicted
16 May 15th of this year, arrest warrant issued. He was
17 arrested soon after.

18 And when he was arrested by the FBI without
19 having any contact with a defense attorney, Mr. Eisner
20 agreed to give them a written statement, which he signed,
21 admitted conduct to the agents immediately. And so, he's
22 been in a posture of wanting to resolve the case ever
23 since his arrest. He didn't have an attorney at that
24 time to do any type of pre-indictment negotiation,
25 because it went straight to indictment for some reason.

1 So once he made his appearance in this
2 district, our office was appointed, myself in particular,
3 and we began discussions with the government. We started
4 receiving some discovery. And we were in a posture to
5 try to settle the case from that point on.

6 Mr. Head's case had already been resolved, so
7 Mr. Eisner was of no value to cooperate against Mr. Head.
8 Mr. Head was first in the door, so to speak.

9 But again, Mr. Eisner's decision to resolve
10 this case had nothing to do with what Mr. Head did or did
11 not do.

12 THE COURT: All right.

13 MR. BREHM: So again, it maybe that's
14 reflected in the government's recommendation of only ten
15 percent reduction for cooperation. I don't know. But
16 there was some cooperation apparently by Mr. Head that
17 resulted in their motion, certainly.

18 Again, I think some of these things might
19 counterweigh, so I certainly ask the Court to consider
20 that when you do this comparison, there's obviously some
21 difference like apples and oranges have some difference,
22 but they're both a type of fruit with some similarities.

23 In this case, I think that difference is kind
24 of counterweighed. So a sentence for Mr. Eisner, we
25 argue, should be very close at least to Mr. Head and not

1 much greater than that, if at all.

2 We think certainly even 48 months, just as
3 the government thought it was for Mr. Head substantial
4 enough for deterrence and a punishment for his conduct, I
5 think anything greater than 48 months would be
6 unnecessary for Mr. Eisner in terms of adequate
7 punishment for his conduct as well as deterrence for
8 himself and others. We think that the Court should at
9 least consider something in that range of time.

10 I would ask for some recommendations of the
11 Court, if you consider. One is that you recommend the
12 Intensive Drug Program, that RDAP program since
13 Mr. Eisner clearly has substantial substance abuse issues
14 that he's trying to get a handle of and apparently that's
15 thought to be a fairly good program and is very
16 intensive. So we ask you to recommend the RDAP program.

17 And also, Your Honor, there is a particular
18 BOP facility, the FCI facility at Fort Dix, New Jersey.
19 It has the RDAP program there, and it's also relatively
20 close to his family and friends.

21 As you may recall from the PSR, he actually
22 lived up in the New York area and that's where he's been
23 staying while he's been on bond. So we'd ask the Court
24 to recommend the facility at Fort Dix along with the drug
25 treatment RDAP recommendation.

1 And then finally, I think, in one our
2 pleadings we asked the Court to consider voluntary
3 surrender in this case, just as Mr. Head received in his
4 case and ask that if there's voluntary surrender, he be
5 allow to surrender sometime on or after January 8th.
6 That may well be the amount of time it takes them to
7 designate anyway, but that get us through the holidays
8 with his family, especially his young daughter he's
9 trying to maintain some contact with. So we'd ask you to
10 consider that as well.

11 THE COURT: All right.

12 Come up, Mr. Eisner. Mr. Eisner, is there
13 any statement you'd like to make in your own behalf?

14 MR. EISNER: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor,
15 I'm not proud of the circumstances in which I appear
16 before the Court today. However, I'm relieved this day
17 has finally come and that I'm facing the consequences of
18 my actions.

19 I've had the better part of three years to
20 reflect on my past behavior and to think about how my
21 actions have impacted others. I've read Ms. Freeman's
22 impact statement in its entirety many times in the past
23 few days.

24 I've been filled with regret and guilt for
25 many years over the way that I handled their case. It

1 was difficult to read about what they've experienced as a
2 result of my failure to fulfill my duties, particularly
3 my failure to pay the IRS on their behalf.

4 I gradually subtracted the funds that I was
5 holding on their behalf with the assumption that the
6 majority of the funds would be awarded as fees and that
7 when the time came to pay the tax claims, I would have
8 the money to do so.

9 This was unethical, wrong, dishonest and a
10 complete breach of trust that they placed in me. I
11 thought only of myself and placed my needs and desires
12 above all others, including the firm's clients and even
13 my own family.

14 I am sincerely sorry for the harm that my
15 actions have caused Mr. and Mrs. Freeman and their
16 family.

17 I do not expect to earn their forgiveness,
18 but I will do all I can to pay them back. I am equally
19 sorry for the impact that my actions had on Mr. Tyell.
20 He entrusted me with the care of a large sum of money.
21 And like the Freeman, I slowly borrowed this money over a
22 period of time without his knowledge or permission, with
23 the unfounded belief that I would have the money at a
24 future date to repay him.

25 I clearly breached my fiduciary duties to him

1 and the trust that he had placed in me. He is a good
2 person and a good friend -- and was a good friend and not
3 a day goes back that I do not think about how my actions
4 must have impacted him.

5 I'll work as hard as I can to pay him back
6 every cent that is he owed, and it's my sincere hope that
7 I can someday earn his forgiveness.

8 I'd also like to apologize to the firm's
9 former clients that were impacted by my behavior. My use
10 of drugs and alcohol resulted in prolong absences from
11 the office and my eventual hospitalization and month in
12 rehab resulted with the firm's clients having to find new
13 counsel without my help.

14 I would like to apologize to the financial
15 institutions that I impacted through several schemes with
16 the co-defendant and to my mother and wife for utilizing
17 their identities to take out several business lines of
18 credit.

19 I believe I have earned both of their
20 forgiveness and trust back, but now I still have a long
21 way to go. They've both been unbelievably supportive,
22 and I could not have made it through this without them.

23 I've lost the respect of pretty much everyone
24 in my life, including friends and family. Most
25 importantly, I lost respect for myself in the wake of my

1 actions.

2 After two long years of spiritual reading and
3 practice, psychiatric treatment, reestablishing and
4 prioritizing my values, earning back the respect of
5 others by making amends for my past actions and by
6 keeping promises by coming to terms with the past and by
7 maintaining sobriety from alcohol and drugs, I've begun
8 the long process of healing.

9 I believe I should be punished for my actions
10 and believe I am deserving of a period of incarceration.
11 I intend to utilize the time to continue to address my
12 mental health and substance abuse issues and to acquire
13 whatever training and education available in order to
14 give me the best chance possible to again be a productive
15 member of society.

16 I have no illusions about how difficult the
17 process will be, but all I can do is try.

18 Thank you, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Mr. Eisner, you're before the
20 Court for conspiracy to commit wire fraud and three
21 counts of wire fraud.

22 It is fair to say that you were an attorney
23 who engaged in breathtaking fraud, not only against your
24 clients, but also credit cards companies and banks.

25 Your scheme with Mark Head was exacerbated by

1 the Fidelity Cash Management Account, the scheme you all
2 had involving cars and trying to refinance them. It's
3 breathtaking.

4 And I've read your ten page confession.
5 That's what it seems to be to me, the story of your life
6 and confession. And, I contrast that -- I mean, well,
7 first of all, you're not comparable to Mark Head because
8 you earned the right to hang a shingle and become an
9 attorney. And I've read how you and your wife struggled
10 in college. You changed schools, and then you decided to
11 go to law school. And you worked your way through law
12 school. Many of us had to do that. And, apparently,
13 your work with Sandra O did not teach you how not to
14 practice law. You learned things from her about how to
15 practice law. But I can't blame her and neither can you
16 for the things that you did.

17 And yes, I sentenced Sandra O and I told her
18 at the time of sentencing I would give her a lot more
19 time than 81 months, but she had cooperated against a
20 former client in Maryland. That's why she got an 81
21 month sentence. That's why, not because of anything that
22 I did.

23 I just think that when you do what you did
24 here, you stole your own mother's identity and your
25 wife's identity and then ran up credit cards. Gambling,

1 drugs, alcohol, getting drunk early in the morning, going
2 to West Virginia to gamble in the middle of the night.
3 These things are just bizarre from the standpoint of
4 someone who's worked that hard to come to school to
5 become an attorney.

6 You're right. There are many things you did
7 here that are just so wrong on so many levels, and you
8 must be held accountable for them. I've given a great
9 deal of thought to this as I always do about sentencing,
10 trying to figure out what's the appropriate sentence for
11 you as distinct from anyone else.

12 And I have here the letter from the victim
13 LF. It said "the decision to pursue Chapter 11
14 bankruptcy, let alone the process of filing, completing
15 the requirements was demanding, draining and left us
16 vulnerable. The attorney-client relationship was
17 critical because the trust required to address and
18 overcome often overwhelming financial circumstances. My
19 husband and I completely relied upon Mr. Eisner and
20 followed his advice implicitly. We now feel terribly
21 deceived and wary of attorneys in the entire bankruptcy
22 process".

23 People going through bankruptcy are already
24 in distress. And what you did was to make it even worse
25 for them, worse for the court and worse for all other

1 attorneys because clients read about people like you
2 doing crazy things like this, stealing their money and
3 dishonoring the profession and then getting away with
4 some kind of slap on the wrist.

5 This is not a single case of a lawyer
6 succumbing to taking money from the trust account. You
7 didn't even have a trust account. You never had one,
8 according to you.

9 On page five, you said "I maintained a proper
10 trust account. I did this with the irrational belief at
11 the time I would be able to repay the amounts at the time
12 they were due". Well, that's often the story of
13 attorneys who steal from the trust account. No one will
14 ever know.

15 It's called a trust account for a reason,
16 Mr. Eisner, and you abused all of that trust.

17 I have considered your parents' letters and
18 what's remarkable to me -- and I have them all here and I
19 want you to know I read them.

20 And I read that your wife says that she
21 believes that you've made a change and the veil of
22 darkness that obscured your true nature has fallen away
23 and that you're now a new person.

24 And, that you -- your mother says that she
25 found out about your involvement with drug and it's not

1 the Michael that she knows.

2 Your mother's employer believes you've taken
3 the right steps to change your behavior. Your
4 sister-in-law talks about she's seen you transformed.
5 And, Ms. Sloan says "I believe the law firm that hired
6 him right out of law school was so corrupt, they
7 distorted and clouded Michael's views and sent him in the
8 downward spiral that put him where he is today".

9 So we're talking about two different people.
10 On the one hand there's the person who comes from the
11 middle class background, who worked his way through
12 school, became an attorney, had his own practice, stole
13 everything and ruined his life. And the other is someone
14 his family doesn't know. So, you're a person who has two
15 faces and they're both devious.

16 I've decided to do this. I'm going to send
17 you to prison for 72 months on each count. The
18 conviction will run concurrent with each other, which is
19 six years. And the reason for the six years is because I
20 think you should be punished more than Mark Head because
21 of your background as an attorney.

22 I'm going to make you -- you're required to
23 pay the \$100 special assessment per count which is \$400
24 right away. I'm going to place you on a three-year term
25 of supervised release that will have all the standard

1 conditions, including that you participate in substance
2 abuse treatment and testing at the direction of the
3 probation officer, that you pay restitution in equal
4 monthly installments of not less than \$250 a month within
5 60 days of your release from incarceration until paid in
6 full.

7 You shall pay child support. And whether or
8 not you and your wife get back together or not, if you
9 get back together, that's one thing. But if not, you're
10 required to pay child support and report to juvenile
11 court to have that scheduled.

12 If you were to win the lottery, some income
13 tax refund or inheritance, unanticipated financial gain,
14 that's to be applied to the restitution amount.

15 You're not to open any new lines of credit or
16 credit cards without the approval of the probation
17 officer. You're required to provide the probation
18 officer with access to any requested financial
19 information.

20 You're to participate in substance abuse
21 testing and treatment at the direction of the probation
22 officer and participate in mental health treatment and
23 the cost to be paid by you. And you're required to sign
24 a waiver of confidentiality so the probation officer can
25 speak to your mental health professional.

1 The restitution amount is \$1,153,357.78 and
2 the victims' names are set forth in the presentence
3 report. My understanding is that your lawyer and the
4 government have identified at least a list of who the
5 various victims are, including the victims present today.

6 I will grant your attorney's request you
7 participate in the Intensive Drug Treatment Program and I
8 will recommend to the Bureau of Prisons that you serve
9 your sentence at Fort Dix, New Jersey, if that is
10 available.

11 I will not allow you to voluntarily
12 surrender. I will remand you to custody at this time.
13 Thank you.

14 We're in recess until 10 o'clock.

15 MR. GOLDER: Your Honor, we have a
16 restitution order.

17 THE COURT: All right, you can hand it up.
18 (Proceeding concluded at 9:38 a.m.)

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2
3 I, Renecia Wilson, an official court
4 reporter for the United State District Court of Virginia,
5 Alexandria Division, do hereby certify that I reported by
6 machine shorthand, in my official capacity, the
7 proceedings had upon the sentencing in the case of United
8 States of America vs. Michael L. Eisner.

9 I further certify that I was authorized and
10 did report by stenotype the proceedings and evidence in
11 said sentencing, and that the foregoing pages, numbered 1
12 to 23, inclusive, constitute the official transcript of
13 said proceedings as taken from my shorthand notes.

14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereto subscribed
15 my name this 16th day of January, 2015.

16
17 /s/
18 _____
Renecia Wilson, RMR, CRR
Official Court Reporter
19
20
21
22
23
24
25